Capgemini SE

Statutory Auditors' report on the Company financial statements

(For the year ended December 31, 2019)

PricewaterhouseCoopers audit 63 rue de Villiers 92200 Neuilly-sur-Seine

KPMG Tour EQHO 2, avenue Kléber CS 60055 92066 Paris-La-Défense Cedex

Statutory Auditors' report on the Company financial statements

(For the year ended December 31, 2019)

This is a translation into English of the Statutory Auditors' report on the financial statements of the Company issued in French and it is provided solely for the convenience of English speaking users.

This Statutory Auditors' report includes information required by European regulation and French law, such as information about the appointment of the Statutory Auditors or verification of the management report and other documents provided to shareholders.

This report should be read in conjunction with, and construed in accordance with, French law and professional auditing standards applicable in France.

To the Shareholders, **Capgemini SE** 11 rue de Tilsitt 75017 Paris

Opinion

In compliance with the engagement entrusted to us by your Annual General Meeting, we have audited the accompanying financial statements of Cappenini SE for the year ended December 31, 2019.

In our opinion, the financial statements give a true and fair view of the assets and liabilities and of the financial position of the Company as at December 31, 2019 and of the results of its operations for the year then ended in accordance with French accounting principles.

The audit opinion expressed above is consistent with our report to the Audit Committee.

Basis for Opinion

Audit Framework

We conducted our audit in accordance with professional standards applicable in France. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Statutory Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements Section of our report.

Independence

We conducted our audit engagement in compliance with independence rules applicable to us, for the period from January 1, 2019 to the date of our report and specifically we did not provide any prohibited non-audit services referred to in Article 5 (1) of Regulation (EU) No 537/2014 or in the French Code of Ethics (*Code de déontologie*) for Statutory Auditors.

Justification of Assessments - Key Audit Matters

In accordance with the requirements of Articles L. 823-9 and R. 823-7 of the French Commercial Code (*Code de commerce*) relating to the justification of our assessments, we inform you of the key audit matter relating to risks of material misstatement that, in our professional judgment, was of most significance in our audit of the financial statements of the current period, as well as how we addressed this risk.

This matter was addressed in the context of our audit of the financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on specific items of the financial statements.

Measurement of investments in subsidiaries in Capgemini SE

Risks identified

At December 31, 2019, equity investments reported in the balance sheet amount to €18,038 million. Equity investments are recognized at their acquisition-date cost and may be written down based on their value in use.

As stated in the Note "Accounting policies - Financial Assets" to the financial statements, an impairment is recognized if the value in use of an equity investment is lower than its carrying amount. The value in use of equity investments is estimated by Management, either using discounted future cash flows adjusted for net cash/debt and deferred taxes, or using the proportionate share of consolidated net equity, or in some rare cases, based on the market value of comparable transactions.

The measurement of the value in use requires judgment by Management in terms of the inputs chosen, which may correspond to historical or forward-looking information.

Management ensures at year end that the carrying amount of equity investments is not higher than their value in use. An adverse change in the activities related to these investments, due to internal or external factors related to the financial and economic environment in the markets where Cappemini operates, may significantly affect the value in use of the equity investments and require the recognition of an impairment. Such change would require reassessing the relevance of the assumptions used to determine value in use and the reasonableness and consistency of the calculation criteria.

We believe that measurement of the value of equity investments is a key audit matter given the significant amount of equity investments reported in the financial statements and their sensitivity to assumptions made by Management.

Our audit approach

Our work included:

- gaining an understanding of and assessing the impairment testing process implemented by Management;
- when the value in use of equity investments is assessed using the discounted cash flow method:
 - o assessing that the model used to calculate value in use is appropriate,
 - o analyzing the consistency of cash flow forecasts with the latest estimates by Management presented to the Board of Directors during the budget process,
 - comparing cash flow forecasts for financial years 2020 to 2022 with the business plans used for prior year impairment testing,

- o comparing the 2019 earnings forecasts used for prior year impairment testing with actual results.
- interviewing financial and operational managers to analyze the main assumptions used in the business plans and cross-check the assumptions with the explanations obtained,
- assessing the methods used to calculate the discount rate applied to estimated future cash flows and the long-term growth rate used to project cash flows for the last estimated financial year to infinity; comparing these rates with market data or external sources and recalculating the rates based on our own data sources,
- o comparing net cash/debt with underlying data used to prepare the Company's consolidated financial statements;
- when the value in use of equity investments is measured based on the proportionate share of consolidated net equity or based on the market value of comparable transactions:
 - o assessing the appropriateness of the valuation method used.
 - assessing the documentation used to measure value in use;
- assessing the appropriateness of the financial information provided in the notes to the annual financial statements.

Our firms' valuation specialists were involved in this work.

Specific verification

We have also performed, in accordance with professional standards applicable in France, the specific verifications required by French law.

Information provided in the management report and in the other documents with respect to the financial position and the financial statements provided to the shareholders

We have no matters to report as to the fair presentation and the consistency with the financial statements of the information given in the Board of Directors' management report and in the other documents with respect to the financial position and the financial statements provided to the shareholders.

We attest that the information relating to payment terms referred to in article D. 441-4 of the French Commercial Code (*Code de commerce*) is fairly presented and consistent with the financial statements.

Report on Corporate Governance

We confirm the existence in the Board of Directors' report on corporate governance of the information required by Articles L. 225-37-3 and L. 225-37-4 of the French Commercial Code (*Code de commerce*).

Concerning the information given in accordance with the requirements of Article L. 225-37-3 of the French Commercial Code (*Code de commerce*) relating to remunerations and benefits received by the directors and any other commitments made in their favor, we have verified its consistency with the financial statements, or with the underlying information used to prepare these financial statements and, where applicable, with the information obtained by your Company from controlled companies included in the scope of consolidation. Based on this work, we attest the accuracy and fair presentation

of this information.

Other information

In accordance with French law, we have verified that the required information concerning the purchase of investments and controlling interests and the identity of the shareholders and holders of the voting rights has been properly disclosed in the management report.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

Appointment of the Statutory Auditors

We were appointed as Statutory Auditors of Capgemini SE by the Annual General Meeting held on April 25, 2002 for KPMG Audit and on May 24, 1996 for PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit.

As at December 31, 2019, KPMG audit and PricewaterhouseCoopers audit were in the 18th year and 24th year of total uninterrupted engagement.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with French accounting principles and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless it is expected to liquidate the Company or to cease operations.

The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring the financial reporting process and the effectiveness of internal control and risk management systems and where applicable, its internal audit, regarding the accounting and financial reporting procedures.

The financial statements were approved by the Board of Directors.

Statutory Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Objectives and audit approach

Our role is to issue a report on the financial statements. Our objective is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with professional standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As specified in Article L. 823-10-1 of the French Commercial Code (Code de commerce), our statutory

audit does not include assurance on the viability of the Company or the quality of management of the affairs of the Company.

As part of an audit conducted in accordance with professional standards applicable in France, the statutory auditor exercises professional judgment throughout the audit and furthermore:

- identifies and assesses the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, designs and performs audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtains audit evidence considered to be sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for his opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control;
- obtains an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control;
- evaluates the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management in the financial statements;
- assesses the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. This assessment is based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of his audit report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Company to cease to continue as a going concern. If the statutory auditor concludes that a material uncertainty exists, there is a requirement to draw attention in the audit report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are not provided or inadequate, to modify the opinion expressed therein;
- evaluates the overall presentation of the financial statements and assesses whether these statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

Report to the Audit Committee

We submit a report to the Audit Committee which includes in particular a description of the scope of the audit and the audit program implemented, as well as the results of our audit. We also report, if any, significant deficiencies in internal control regarding the accounting and financial reporting procedures that we have identified.

Our report to the Audit Committee includes the risks of material misstatement that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in the audit of the financial statements of the current period and which are therefore the key audit matters that we are required to describe in this report.

We also provide the Audit Committee with the declaration provided for in Article 6 of Regulation (EU) N° 537/2014, confirming our independence within the meaning of the rules applicable in France such as they are set in particular by Articles L. 822-10 to L. 822-14 of the French Commercial Code (*Code de commerce*) and in the French Code of Ethics (*Code de déontologie*) for Statutory Auditors. Where

appropriate, we discuss with the Audit Committee the risks that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and the related safeguards.

Neuilly-sur-Seine and Paris-La Défense, March 16, 2020

The Statutory Auditors

PricewaterhouseCoopers audit

KPMG Audit Division of KPMG S.A.

Richard Béjot Partner Stéphanie Ortega Partner Frédéric Quélin Partner

Capgemini SE

Statutory Auditors' report on the consolidated financial statements

(For the year ended December 31, 2019)

PricewaterhouseCoopers audit 63 rue de Villiers 92200 Neuilly-sur-Seine

KPMG Tour EQHO 2, avenue Kléber CS 60055 92066 Paris-La-Défense Cedex

Statutory Auditors' report on the consolidated financial statements

(For the year ended December 31, 2019)

This is a translation into English of the Statutory Auditors' report on the financial statements of the Company issued in French and it is provided solely for the convenience of English speaking users.

This Statutory Auditors' report includes information required by European regulation and French law, such as information about the appointment of the Statutory Auditors or verification of the management report and other documents provided to shareholders.

This report should be read in conjunction with, and construed in accordance with, French law and professional auditing standards applicable in France.

Capgemini SE

11 rue de Tilsitt

75017 Paris

To the annual general meeting of Capgemini SE

Opinion

In compliance with the engagement entrusted to us by your annual general meeting, we have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Capgemini SE for the year ended 31 December 2019.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements give a true and fair view of the assets and liabilities and of the financial position of the Group as at 31 December 2019 and of the results of its operations for the year then ended in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union.

The audit opinion expressed above is consistent with our report to the Audit Committee.

Basis for Opinion

Audit Framework

We conducted our audit in accordance with professional standards applicable in France. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the *Statutory Auditors'* Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements section of our report.

Independence

We conducted our audit engagement in compliance with independence rules applicable to us, for the period from 1st January 2019 to the date of our report and specifically we did not provide any prohibited non-audit services referred to in Article 5(1) of Regulation (EU) No 537/2014 or in the French Code of ethics (code de déontologie) for statutory auditors.

Emphasis of Matter

Without qualifying our conclusion, we draw your attention to Note 1B "New Standards and interpretations applicable in 2019" to the consolidated financial statements, which describes in particular the impact of the application as of January 1, 2019 of IFRS 16 "Leases".

Justification of Assessments - Key Audit Matters

In accordance with the requirements of Articles L.823-9 and R.823-7 of the French Commercial Code (code de commerce) relating to the justification of our assessments, we inform you of the key audit matters relating to risks of material misstatement that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in our audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period, as well as how we addressed those risks.

These matters were addressed in the context of our audit of the consolidated financial statements as a whole, and in forming our opinion thereon, and we do not provide a separate opinion on specific items of the consolidated financial statements.

Recognition of revenue and costs related to long-term service contracts

Risks identified

Capgemini is present in the professional IT services market and notably provides long-term services.

As described in Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements, the method used to recognize revenue and costs related to long-term contracts depends on the nature of the services rendered, as follows:

- Revenue from deliverable-based contracts is recognized over time by using the "cost-to-cost" method to measure progress to completion. The percentage of completion is based on costs incurred to date relative to the total estimate of cost at completion of the contract.
- Revenue from Resources-based contracts is recognized as the Group earns the right to bill the customer as the amount invoiced corresponds directly to the value to the customer of the performance completed to date.
- Revenue on services-based contracts is recognized as rights to bill arise, except in specific
 cases where invoicing terms do not reflect the value to the customer of services rendered
 to date relative to the value of the remaining services (for example, in case of significant
 front-loaded or back-loaded fees or discounts).
- Revenue on multi-deliverable contracts should be recognized applying the appropriate method of progress as specified above, depending on the performance obligations identified.

Costs incurred to fulfill the contracts are expensed as incurred with the exception of certain initial set-up costs, such as transition and transformation costs that do not represent a separate performance obligation, which are capitalized if they create a resource that the Group will use to perform the promised service.

A provision for onerous contract is recorded if all the costs necessary to fulfil the contract exceed the related benefits.

The amount of revenue and the costs to be recognized for the period, and of any provisions for loss at completion at the closing date, depends upon the Group's ability to:

- identify all the performance obligations in the long-term multi-service contracts and determine their related accounting treatment;
- measure the costs incurred or the total services rendered;
- estimate the costs to be incurred till the end of the contract.

Considering the judgments and estimates made by the management to determine how revenue and related costs should be recognized, we deemed the recognition of revenue and costs related to long-term service contracts to be a key matter in our audit.

Our audit approach

We gained an understanding of the process related to recognizing various revenue flows.

Our approach took into account the information systems used in recognizing revenue and related costs by testing, with the assistance of our IT specialists, the effectiveness of the automatic controls for systems impacting revenue recognition.

Our work notably involved:

- assessing internal control procedures, identifying the most relevant controls for our audit and testing their design and operational efficiency;
- carrying out analytical audit procedures, and notably analyzing material changes in revenue and margin from one period to another;
- based on a sample of contracts, selected by using a multi-criteria analysis:
 - assessing the performance obligations identified within the context of the contract;
 - assessing the method used to recognize revenue and related costs for each identified performance obligations;
 - comparing the accounting data against the operational monitoring of projects and assessing the reasonableness of the estimates used, particularly as regards to measuring costs to be incurred till the end of the contract;
- assessing the appropriateness of the information provided in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Measurement of Goodwill

Risks identified

As part of its business development, the Group makes targeted acquisitions and recognizes goodwill as an asset in the consolidated financial statements.

Goodwill corresponds to the difference between the purchase price and the net amount of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed. Goodwill is allocated to the various cash generating units (CGU) based on the value in use of each CGU.

At least once a year, Management ensures that the net carrying amount of goodwill recognized as an asset, amounting to €7,662 million at 31 December 2019, is not greater than the recoverable amount. Indeed, an adverse change in the business activities to which goodwill has been allocated, due to internal or external factors such as the financial and economic environment in markets where Capgemini operates, may have a significant adverse effect on the recoverable amount of goodwill and require the recognition of impairment. In such a case, it is necessary to reassess the relevance of the assumptions used to determine the recoverable amounts and the reasonableness and consistency of the criteria used in the calculation.

The impairment testing methods and details of the assumptions made are described in Note 16 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements. The recoverable amount is determined based on value in use, which is calculated based on the present value of the estimated future cash flows expected to arise from the asset group comprising each cash generating unit.

We believe that the measurement of goodwill is a key audit matter, due to the significant amount of goodwill reported in the financial statements and its sensitivity to the assumptions made by Management, especially in the context of the first application of IFRS 16 "Leases".

Our audit approach

Our work entailed:

- assessing the appropriateness of the method used to identify cash generating units (CGU);
- gaining an understanding of and assessing the impairment testing process implemented by Management;
- assessing the appropriateness of the model used to calculate value in use, including the impact following the adoption of IFRS 16;
- analysing the consistency of cash flow forecasts with Management's latest estimates presented to the Board of Directors as part of the budget process;
- comparing the cash flow forecasts for financial years 2020 to 2022 with the business plans used for prior year impairment testing;
- comparing 2019 earnings forecasts used for prior year impairment testing with actual results;
- interviewing the financial and operational staff responsible for the geographic areas representing cash generating units to analyse the main assumptions used in the 3 year strategic plan and cross-check the assumptions with the explanations obtained;
- assessing the methods used to calculate the discount rate applied to the estimated cash flows expected, as well as the long-term growth rate used to project the latest year expected cash flows to infinity; comparing these rates with market data and external sources and recalculating the rates based on our own data sources;
- assessing sensitivity testing of value in use to a change in the main assumptions used by Management;
- assessing the appropriateness of the financial information provided in Note 16 of the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Our firms' valuation specialists were involved in this work.

Provisions for pensions and other post-employment benefits

Risks identified

As stated in the Note 25 to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2019, the Group contributes to several post-employment defined benefit plans. The main pension plans in the United Kingdom, Canada and France represent a net benefit obligation of €871 million out of a total of €1,046 million at 31 December 2019. These pension plans represent an actuarial value of cumulative benefit obligations of €4,629 million out of a total of €5,575 million with corresponding assets of €3,758 million out of €4,529 million at 31 December 2019.

Calculating pension plan assets and liabilities as well as actuarial costs for the period requires the judgment of management to determine which assumptions should be used, such as discount and inflation rates, salary inflation, staff turnover and life expectancy, etc. Any changes in these key assumptions can have a material impact on how the recognized net benefit obligation is determined and on the Group's results. Accordingly, management solicits external actuaries to assist in determining these assumptions.

In light of the judgment of management in determining actuarial assumptions and their resulting sensitivity, the obligations resulting from the defined benefit plans were deemed to be a key matter in our audit.

Our audit approach

We were informed of the procedures implemented by the Group for measuring post-employment net benefit obligations resulting from defined benefit plans.

With the support of our actuaries, our work involved:

- assessing the reasonableness of the assumptions regarding discount and inflation rates in light of current market conditions;
- assessing assumptions as regards salary inflation and demographic data in order to measure their consistency with the specific nature of each plan and, where applicable, the relevant national and sector references;
- confirming, based on sampling techniques, that individual data and the actuarial and statistical assumptions used by external actuaries to calculate the benefit obligation have been correctly transcribed;
- assessing, based on sampling techniques, the reasonableness of the assumptions used to measure the dedicated assets.

Tax Audit

Risks identified

The Group is present in a large number of tax jurisdictions. The tax authorities in the countries in which the Group operates regularly control the Group's position on subjects relating to its ordinary business.

As stated in Note 30 to the Group's consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2019, tax audits may lead to re-assessments and disputes with the tax authorities, notably in France and in India.

These reassessments have not been accrued in the financial statements, as the Group has justified its position and believes that it is probable that it will prevail.

Estimates of risk relating to tax disputes are reviewed regularly by each subsidiary and by the Group's tax department, with the assistance of external counsels for the most significant or complex disputes.

We believe that tax audits are a key audit matter due to the Group's exposure to tax issues related to its presence worldwide and the level of judgment required by Management in estimating risk and the amounts at stake.

Our audit approach

Through discussions with Management, we have gained an understanding of the procedures implemented by the Group to identify tax audits.

We have also assessed the judgments made by Management to measure the probability of tax payable and the amount of potential exposures, and the reasonableness of the estimates made for provisions related to tax audits.

We focused in particular on the effect of changes in local tax regulations and ongoing disputes with local tax authorities.

To assess whether tax audits have been correctly accounted for, with the assistance of our tax experts we:

- conducted interviews with the Group's tax department and with local tax departments to assess the current status of investigations and reassessment proposals or reassessment notices received from the tax authorities, and monitor the status of ongoing claims, disputes and pre-litigation proceedings;
- consulted the decisions and recent correspondence between the Group's companies and local tax authorities, along with the correspondence between the companies concerned and their legal counsels, when required;

 performed a critical review of Management's estimates and positions and of the opinions of external advisors.

Verification of the Information Pertaining to the Group Presented in the Management Report

As required by law we have also verified in accordance with professional standards applicable in France the information pertaining to the Group presented in the management report of the Board of Directors.

We have no matters to report as to its fair presentation and its consistency with the consolidated financial statements.

We attest that the consolidated non-financial statement provided for by article L.225- 102- 1 of the French Commercial Code (*Code de commerce*) is included in the Management Report, it being specified that, in accordance with the provisions of article L.823- 10 of said Code, we have verified neither the fair presentation nor the consistency with the financial statements of the information contained in this statement which has to be subject to a report by an independent third party.

Report on Other Legal and Regulatory Requirements

Appointment of the Statutory Auditors

We were appointed as statutory auditors of Capgemini SE by the annual general meeting held on 25 April 2002 for KPMG Audit and on 24 May 1996 for PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit.

As at 31 December 2019, KPMG Audit and PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit were in the 18th year and 24th year of total uninterrupted engagement.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards as adopted by the European Union and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing, as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless it is expected to liquidate the Company or to cease operations.

The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring the financial reporting process and the effectiveness of internal control and risks management systems and where applicable, its internal audit, regarding the accounting and financial reporting procedures.

The consolidated financial statements were approved by the Board of Directors.

Statutory Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of the Consolidated Financial Statements

Objectives and audit approach

Our role is to issue a report on the consolidated financial statements. Our objective is to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with professional standards will always detect a

material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these consolidated financial statements.

As specified in Article L.823-10-1 of the French Commercial Code (code de commerce), our statutory audit does not include assurance on the viability of the Company or the quality of management of the affairs of the Company.

As part of an audit conducted in accordance with professional standards applicable in France, the statutory auditor exercises professional judgment throughout the audit and furthermore:

- Identifies and assesses the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, designs and performs audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtains audit evidence considered to be sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for his opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.
- Obtains an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit
 procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of
 expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control.
- Evaluates the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management in the consolidated financial statements.
- Assesses the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of
 accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty
 exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Company's
 ability to continue as a going concern. This assessment is based on the audit evidence
 obtained up to the date of his audit report. However, future events or conditions may
 cause the Company to cease to continue as a going concern. If the statutory auditor
 concludes that a material uncertainty exists, there is a requirement to draw attention in
 the audit report to the related disclosures in the consolidated financial statements or, if
 such disclosures are not provided or inadequate, to modify the opinion expressed
 therein.
- Evaluates the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements and assesses whether these statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Obtains sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the
 entities or business activities within the Group to express an opinion on the consolidated
 financial statements. The statutory auditor is responsible for the direction, supervision
 and performance of the audit of the consolidated financial statements and for the opinion
 expressed on these consolidated financial statements.

Report to the Audit Committee

We submit a report to the Audit Committee which includes in particular a description of the scope of the audit and the audit program implemented, as well as the results of our audit. We also report, if any, significant deficiencies in internal control regarding the accounting and financial reporting procedures that we have identified.

Our report to the Audit Committee includes the risks of material misstatement that, in our professional judgment, were of most significance in the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the current period and which are therefore the key audit matters that we are required

to describe in this report.

We also provide the Audit Committee with the declaration provided for in Article 6 of Regulation (EU) N° 537/2014, confirming our independence within the meaning of the rules applicable in France such as they are set in particular by Articles L.822-10 to L.822-14 of the French Commercial Code (code de commerce) and in the French Code of Ethics (code de déontologie) for statutory auditors. Where appropriate, we discuss with the Audit Committee the risks that may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence, and the related safeguards.

The Statutory Auditors

Neuilly-sur-Seine, 20 February 2020

Paris La Défense, 20 February 2020

PricewaterhouseCoopers Audit

KPMG Audit

Division of KPMG S.A.

Richard Béjot Partner Frédéric Quélin Partner Stéphanie Ortega Partner